LAF 5995 SEGEG ROCKY FLATS

000006382

DIST -MIN A

NINCC

L B INV JAALN 1

eig JG KER EH RSH JM

= FM

AX G M W GJANCC

JESTIC JR

PSAN RV

ERGL TITO VI

DIN NE

" I IVAN ALT ANSON E R IMAN KG

enden . K

-nnegv

EBE JS

HINGAME A H INIVAL GJ P AD JUCHER DW IS JG

EG&G ROCKY FLATS, INC ROCKY FLATS PLANT, P.O. BOX 464 GOLDEN COLORADO 80402-0464 (303) 966 7000

June 15, 1992

92-RF-5995

Terry A Vaeth Manager DOE, RFO

JUN | 5 1992

Attn P M Powell

INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT SCHEDULES AND NEPA INTEGRATION - JEE-0390-92

In comparing the existing IAG schedules with the adjusted schedule for preparation of the Sitewide Environmental Impact Statement (SWEIS), it has become apparent that it may be necessary to separate the NEPA documentation for Operable Unit (OU) No. 1 from the SWEIS with regards to final actions Pesent IAG schedules require the completion of the Feasibility Study/Corrective Measures Study (FS/CMS) for OU No. 1 by March, 1993 and a Record of Decision (ROD) by December, 1994 Adjusted schedules of the SWEIS now have the SWEIS ROD tentatively set for the first quarter of 1995, approximately a 15-month delay in schedule from the original SWEIS completion date of December, 1993

To meet both the requirements of the IAG and the SWEIS the following actions are recommended

Separation of OU No. 1 activities from the SWEIS by initiation of an Environmental Assessment (EA), if required, by August 1, 1992 Dependent upon the proposed action associated with the FS/CMS for OU No 1, activities may qualify for Categorical Exclusions (CX) presented in 10 CFR 1021, National Environmental Policy Act Implementing Procedures, Appendix B to Subpart D, CERCLA Removals OU No. 1 can be considered an interim action, per guidance given in 40 CFR 1506 1 and resterated in 10 CFR 1021 211 Thus, NEPA/CERCLA integration would be achieved during the FS/CMS by completion of a FS/CMS/EA or CX. Detailed evaluation and analysis of alternatives during the FS/CMS, per 40 CFR 300 430(e)(9), will provide sufficient analysis to "rigorously explore and objectively evaluate all reasonable alternatives," as required by 40 CFR 1502 14(a) This approach is consistent with the DOE, RFO recommendation, ERD BKT 1983, addressing preparation of NEPA documentation as part of the analysis of alternatives

CLASSIFICATION

1100 CLASSIFIED DAFIDENTIAL

シュ

ARES CONTROLIZIZ

1160

14

Y

JTHORIZED CLASSIFIER SIGNATURE

" REPLY TO LTR NO

E.ES Cau 752

ADMIN RECORD

By B. M. Ralfman I've

11 'W 1011'113

 If the NEPA process for OU No 1 would not result in a Categorical Exclusion or Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), then activities proposed would be combined with proposals in the SWEIS

The SWEIS will retain its programmatic role, as defined within 10 CFR 1021 330, by assessing cumulative impacts associated with restoration activities at RFP. This will facilitate the plant goals to achieve NEPA/CERCLA integration while maintaining commitments to agency schedules.

If you require any additional information, please contact Steve Nesta, E∞logy and NEPA Division, on X8605.

Evered Director

Environmental Management

EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc.

DIS agm

Ong and 1 cc - T A Vaeth

CC

R J Schassburger - DOE, RFO